

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to Office Action mailed on June 02, 2006. Applicant has cancelled independent claim 176 and all its dependent claims, and independent claim 205 and all of its dependent claims as well, and they will no longer be considered for further examination. Applicant respectfully asks the allowance of the above revisions to the application and the inclusion of a new paragraph as well. They will further clarify the invention without introducing any new matter.

Independent claim 190 has been amended to recite two distinct content, “a first content” hosted by the **first software element** and “a second content” hosted by the **second software element**.

Also, claim 190 has been amended to state that the two distinct content virtually hosted by the third software element are not associated with the third software element’s hosting means.

These amendments will distinguish the applicant’s invention from the prior art (Nahan et al – US patent # 5,999,915) since Nahan shows the first, second and third software elements, each having the same duplicated contents hosted by one another.

All subsequent dependent claims have been amended and the words “a” has been cancelled as suggested by the examiner.

As to forth and fifth software elements please find support on fig. 15. Since fig. 15 is a further embodiment of fig. 11. Fig. 15 shows the same arrangement of fig. 11 used over a network and over the Internet. Although fig. 15 does not show all the elements of fig. 11 and it is done as is for sake of simplicity and not intended to limit the applicant's invention. Furthermore, anyone skilled in the art will be able to use the teaching of the arrangement of fig. 11 and implement its functionality on fig. 15.


New claims have been added and they are dependent claims 233-269 and dependent upon independent claim 190. Also, new independent claim 270 and dependent claims 217-282 and they are dependent upon independent claim 270.

As to the new independent claim 270, please find support on the disclosure pages 1-38 and the explanation of the arrangement of fig. 15. The VIRTUAL SERVER, element 1500 of Fig. 15 is the third software element and at least two of the dynamic servers represent the first and the second software elements.

All dependent claims 240, 243, 246, 253, 256, 259, 262, 271, 274, 277 and 280 reciting content relationship please find support on pages 54-69 **"V) SATELLITE E-MALLS"** and the explanation of figs. 31d and 34a. The client computer of Fig. 31d is the third software element and the remote computer and VNRI are first and second software elements. The single content page of Fig. 34a is the third software element and the other two pages the first and second software elements. Fig 31d is a further embodiment of fig.

15. Fig. 15 illustrates contents' relationship over a network or the Internet and fig. 34a illustrates contents' relationship on a local area network or on a single computer.

Respectfully submitted,



John Almeida

(510) 823-6114 (Phone)

APPLICANT

John Almeida

1947 Dwight Way #3

Berkeley, CA 94704